arXiv:math/0405548v1 [math.AP] 28 May 2004

A SMOOTHING PROPERTY OF SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS IN THE CRITICAL CASE

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY AND MITSURU SUGIMOTO

1. Introduction

Suppose $n \geq 2$. It is well known that the solution $u(t,x) \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_t; L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x))$ to the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \triangle_x)\, u(t,x) = 0 \\ \qquad\qquad u(0,x) = \varphi(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

has the smoothing property $\langle D\rangle^{1/2} u \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}^n_x)$ by Sjölin [12]. Its global version

$$\|\sigma(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}^n_x)} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\sigma(X,D) = |x|^{\alpha-1}|D|^{\alpha}, \quad 1 - n/2 < \alpha < 1/2,$$

was proved by Kato and Yajima [5] ($n \geq 3$) and Sugimoto [14] ($n \geq 2$). Estimate (1.2) with

$$\sigma(X,D) = \left(1 + |x|^2\right)^{-s/2}|D|^{1/2}, \quad s > 1/2,$$

was also proved by Ben-Artzi and Klainerman [1] ($n \geq 3$) and Chihara [2] ($n \geq 2$), and we can never obtain (1.2) in the critical case

$$\sigma(X,D) = |x|^{-1/2}|D|^{1/2}$$

(Watanabe [17]). But we insist, in this paper, we can attain the critical case if we assume some structure condition on the operator $\sigma(X,D)$. This case is crucial in dealing with further applications to the low regularity solutions to nonlinear problems, where the structure condition corresponds to the null structure of the nonlinearity.

We explain our strategy by generalizing the equation, so that we can understand the meaning of the structure well. This will also emphasize geometric qualities responsible for smoothing in the critical case. We set

$$L_p = p(D)^2, \tag{1.3}$$

where $p(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ is a positive function, and is positively homogeneous of degree 1, that is, $p(\xi)$ satisfies $p(\lambda\xi) = \lambda p(\xi)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$. The case $p(\xi) = |\xi|$ corresponds to the usual Laplacian $L_p = -\triangle$. We assume that the Gaussian curvature of the hypersurface

$$\Sigma_p = \{\xi; p(\xi) = 1\} \tag{1.4}$$

Date: February 1, 2008.

This work was completed with the aid of "UK-Japan Joint Project Grant" by "The Royal Society" and "Japan Society for the Promotion of Science".

never vanishes. Under the setting above, we consider the following generalized Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{cases} (i\partial_t - L_p)\, u(t,x) = 0 \\ \qquad\qquad u(0,x) = \varphi(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}
$$

Furthermore, let $\{(x(t), y(t));\ t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be the classical orbit, that is, the solutions of the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \big(\nabla_\xi p^2\big)(\xi(t)), \quad \dot{\xi}(t) = 0, \\ x(0) = 0, \quad \xi(0) = k, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}
$$

and consider the set of the path of all classical orbits

$$
\begin{aligned} \Gamma_p &= \{(x(t), \xi(t));\ t \in \mathbf{R},\ k \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0\} \\ &= \{(\lambda \nabla p(\xi), \xi);\ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0,\ \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}
$$

For the symbol $\sigma(x,\xi)$ of pseudo-differential operator $\sigma(X,D)$, we use the notation

$$
\sigma(x,\xi) \sim |x|^a |\xi|^b
$$

if the symbol $\sigma(x,\xi)$ is smooth in $x \neq 0$, $\xi \neq 0$, positively homogeneous of order a with respect to x, and of order b with respect to ξ. Then we have the following main result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $\sigma(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$. Suppose also the structure condition*

$$
\sigma(x,\xi) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (x,\xi) \in \Gamma_p \quad \text{and} \quad x \neq 0. \tag{1.8}
$$

Then the solution u to (1.5) satisfies estimate (1.2), *i.e.*

$$
\|\sigma(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}^n_x)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.
$$

In Section 5 we will discuss the sharpness of the structure condition (1.8). We will also present results for operators L_p of arbitrary orders $m \in \mathbf{N}$ as well as results for first and second order hyperbolic equations.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is carried out by replacing $\sigma(X,D)$ satisfying structure condition by another operator $\Omega(X,D)$ having good commutability properties (Lemma 3.2). This idea can be realized due to a recent progress on the global L^2-boundedness properties of a class of Fourier integral operators, which was made by authors [10]. With the aid of it, we prove Proposition 3.3 which is a key result in this paper and will be useful in other various situations.

We remark that we can deduce a global existence result to derivative nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{cases} (i\partial_t - L_p)\, u(t,x) = |\sigma(X,D)u|^N \\ \quad u(0,x) = \varphi(x), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},\ x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{cases} \tag{1.9}
$$

by using Theorem 1.1 (and its variant). The structure condition for the derivative $\sigma(X,D)$ in the nonlinear term can weaken the regularity assumptions for the initial data φ. We treat this subject in our forthcoming paper [11].

We should mention here the result of Sugimoto [15] which treated the special case $p(\xi) = |\xi A|$, where A is a positive definite symmetric matrix, and essentially proved (1.2) with

$$\sigma(X, D) = |x|^{\alpha-1}\Lambda^{1/2-\alpha}|D|^{\alpha}, \quad 1 - n/2 < \alpha < 1/2. \tag{1.10}$$

Here Λ^{σ} denotes the homogeneous extension of the (fractional power $\sigma/2$ of) the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the hypersurface

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_p^* &= \{\nabla p(\xi); p(\xi) = 1\} \\ &= \{\xi; p^*(\xi) = 1\}\,; \quad p^*(\xi) = |\xi A^{-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Especially Λ^2 is a partial differential operator of order 2 with coefficients of homogeneous functions of order 2, whose principal symbol is

$$\tau(x, \xi) = \sum_{i<j} \left(\frac{p^*(x)}{|\nabla p^*(x)|}\partial_i p^*(x)\xi_j - \frac{p^*(x)}{|\nabla p^*(x)|}\partial_j p^*(x)\xi_i \right)^2 \tag{1.11}$$

(see [15, p.18]). We remark that $\sigma(X, D)$ given by (1.10) behaves like $|x|^{-1/2}|D|^{1/2}$ in the sense of the orders of differentiation and decay, and $\tau(x, \xi)$ is the typical example which satisfies the structure condition (1.8) (see Remark 3.2). Theorem 1.1 says that this condition is sufficient for the smoothing property and the exact form (1.10) is not necessary. Even in this sense, Theorem 1.1 provides a new aspect to the result of [15].

We explain the plan of this paper. In Section 2, we introduce a class of Fourier integral operators which is the main tool for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we investigate the structure of the hypersurface Σ_p defined by (1.4), and show the key result Proposition 3.3 which is associated to the structure. In Section 4, we prove a refined version of the limiting absorption principle which is an essential part of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we complete the proof and present an extended result of Theorem 1.1.

Finally we remark that the capital C's in estimates always denote unimportant constants, as usual, which depend only on the operators, function spaces, and specified suffices. We remark also that vectors are represented as rows.

2. FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATOR

We show fundamental properties of a class of Fourier integral operators, which will be used in the following sections. To have more flexibility, we will work in cones in the (y, ξ)–space. Let $\Gamma_y, \Gamma_\xi \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be open cones. For the amplitude function $a(x, y, \xi) \in C^\infty\big(\mathbf{R}^n_x \times \mathbf{R}^n_y \times \mathbf{R}^n_\xi\big)$ such that $\cup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n} \operatorname{supp}_{y,\xi} a(x, \cdot, \cdot) \subset \Gamma_y \times \Gamma_\xi$ and the phase function $\varphi(y, \xi) \in C^\infty(\Gamma_y \times \Gamma_\xi)$, we define the operator T_a by

$$T_a u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))} a(x, y, \xi)u(y)dyd\xi. \tag{2.1}$$

In the case $\varphi(y, \xi) = -y \cdot \xi$, T_a is a pseudo-differential operator, and we use the notation $a(X, Y, D) = (2\pi)^{-n}T_a$.

We always assume that $\varphi(y,\xi)$ is a real-valued function and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|\det \partial_y\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)| \geq C > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_y\times\Gamma_\xi, \\ (2.2) \qquad &\left|\partial_y^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta\varphi(y,\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}\langle y\rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \quad \text{on} \quad \cup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}\operatorname{supp}_{y,\xi} a(x,\cdot,\cdot) \quad (\forall\alpha, \forall|\beta|\geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the notation

$$\langle x\rangle = \left(1+|x|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The inequalities

$$\langle x\rangle \leq 1+|x| \leq \sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle$$

will be used frequently in the following. From (2.2), we obtain the estimate

$$(2.3) \qquad C_1\langle y\rangle \leq \langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle \leq C_2\langle y\rangle \quad \text{on} \quad \cup_{x\in\mathbf{R}^n}\operatorname{supp}_{y,\xi} a(x,\cdot,\cdot)$$

with some $C_1, C_2 > 0$. In fact, the estimate $\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle \leq C_2\langle y\rangle$ is clear. As for the estimate $C_1\langle y\rangle \leq \langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle$, we may assume that Γ_y is a proper cone, and fix an appropriate $y_0\in\Gamma_y$ such that $|\partial_\xi\varphi(y_0,\xi)| \leq C\langle y_0\rangle$. From the expression

$$\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi) - \partial_\xi\varphi(y_0,\xi) = (y-y_0)\partial_y\partial_\xi\varphi(z,\xi)$$

with some $z\in\Gamma_y$, we obtain the estimate $|y-y_0| \leq C|\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)-\partial_\xi\varphi(y_0,\xi)|$, hence $\langle y\rangle \leq C_{y_0}\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle$.

For the amplitude function, we introduce classes which emphasize natural growth properties in variables x and y.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $m, m', k \in \mathbf{R}$. A smooth amplitude function $a(x,y,\xi)$ is of the class $\mathcal{A}_k^{m,m'}$, $\mathcal{B}_k^{m,m'}$, $\mathcal{R}_k^{m,m'}$ respectively if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)\right| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m-|\alpha|}\langle y\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{k-|\gamma|}, \\ \left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)\right| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m-|\alpha|}\langle y\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{k}, \\ \left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_y^\beta\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,y,\xi)\right| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m}\langle y\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}\langle\xi\rangle^{k} \end{aligned}$$

respectively for all α, β, and γ. We set $\mathcal{A}_k^m = \cup_{m'\in\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{A}_k^{m-m',m'}$, $\mathcal{B}_k^m = \cup_{m'\in\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{B}_k^{m-m',m'}$, $\mathcal{R}_k^m = \cup_{m'\in\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{R}_k^{m-m',m'}$. In the case $k=0$, we abbreviate it.

Remark 2.1. We have the inclusions $\mathcal{A}_k^m \subset \mathcal{B}_k^m \subset \mathcal{R}_k^m$. If the amplitude function is independent of the variable x or y, the definition of these classes can be simplified. For example, $a(x,\xi)$ is of the class $\mathcal{A}^m$, $\mathcal{B}^m$ respectively if it satisfies

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m-|\alpha|}\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\gamma|}, \qquad \left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\gamma a(x,\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha\gamma}\langle x\rangle^{m-|\alpha|}$$

respectively for all α and γ.

Under the condition (2.2), we can justify the definition (2.1) by the expression

$$T_a u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))}\rho(\varepsilon\xi)a(x,y,\xi)u(y)\,dyd\xi$$

for $a\in\mathcal{R}_k^m$, $u\in C_0^\infty$ and $\rho\in C_0^\infty$. In fact, by integration by parts argument

$$e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))} = \frac{1-i(\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi)-\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi_0))\cdot\partial_y}{1+|\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi)-\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi_0)|^2}\left(e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi)-\varphi(y,\xi_0))}\right)e^{i\varphi(y,\xi_0)}$$

and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}&|\xi-\xi_0|\le C|\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi)-\partial_y\varphi(y,\xi_0)|,\\ &\left|\partial_y^\alpha\varphi(y,\xi)-\partial_y^\alpha\varphi(y,\xi_0)\right|\le C_\alpha\langle y\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}|\xi-\xi_0|\end{aligned}$$

derived from (2.2) with an appropriate ξ_0, the limit exists and does not depend on the choice of ρ.

First we review L^2-mapping properties of the operator T_a. For $m\in\mathbf{R}$, let $L^2_m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the set of functions f such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2_m(\mathbf{R}^n)}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|\langle x\rangle^m f(x)|^2\,dx\right)^{1/2}$$

is finite. Due to Ruzhansky and Sugimoto [10, Theorem 3.1], we have

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose* $m\in\mathbf{R}$. *Let* $a(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{R}^m$. *Then* T_a *is bounded from* $L^2_{m+\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *to* $L^2_\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *for all* $\mu\in\mathbf{R}$.

Next we show a symbolic calculus associated to our class. Before that, we remark the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose* $m,k\in\mathbf{R}$. *For the amplitude function* $a(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{R}^m_k$, *we set*

$$\begin{aligned}a^I(x,y,\xi)&=a(x,y,\xi)\chi((x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))/\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle),\\ a^{II}(x,y,\xi)&=a(x,y,\xi)(1-\chi)((x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))/\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle),\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(x)\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *is equal to one near the origin and* $\operatorname{supp}\chi\subset\{x;\,|x|<1/2\}$. *Then, on* $\operatorname{supp}a^I$, *we have the equivalence*

$$C_1\langle x\rangle\le\langle y\rangle\le C_2\langle x\rangle$$

for some $C_1,C_2>0$. *Furthermore, for any* $N\in\mathbf{R}$, *there exists* $r(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{R}^N_k$ *such that* $T_{a^{II}}=T_r$.

Proof. Since $|x+\partial_\xi\varphi|\le(1/2)\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle$ on $\operatorname{supp}a^I$, we have

$$\langle x\rangle\le|x+\partial_\xi\varphi|+\sqrt{2}\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle\le(1/2+\sqrt{2})\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle&\le|x+\partial_\xi\varphi|+\sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle\\ &\le(1/2)\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle+\sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\langle\partial_\xi\varphi\rangle\le2\sqrt{2}\langle x\rangle.$$

On account of (2.3), we have the first assertion. Furthermore, we have

$$T_{a^{II}}u(x)=\int\!\!\int e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))}M^l a^{II}(x,y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi,$$

where M is the transpose of the operator

$${}^tM=\frac{x+\partial_\xi\varphi}{i|x+\partial_\xi\varphi|^2}\cdot\partial_\xi$$

and $l \in \mathbf{N}$. We have $\langle \partial_\xi \varphi(y,\xi)\rangle \le C|x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)|$ on the support of $a^{II}(x,y,\xi)$, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x\rangle \le |x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)| + \sqrt{2}\langle \partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle \le C|x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)|,\\
&\langle y\rangle \le C\langle \partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle \le C|x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)|
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). On the support of $\chi'((x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))/\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle)$, we have the equivalence of $|x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)|$ and $\langle\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)\rangle$. By all of these facts, we can justify the second assertion. $\square$

Using this lemma, we have the following fundamental calculus:

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose $m,k\in\mathbf{R}$. Let $a(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{B}^m_k$. Then we have the decomposition*

$$T_a = T_{a_0} + T_r,$$

with

$$a_0(y,\xi) = a(-\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi),y,\xi)\in\mathcal{B}^m_k, \qquad r(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{R}^{m-1}_k.$$

Proof. The assertion $a_0(y,\xi)\in\mathcal{B}^m_k$ is easily obtained from assumption (2.2). We use the decomposition $a=a^I+a^{II}$ as in Lemma 2.1, which says that we may neglect the term a^{II}. By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^I(x,y,\xi) =& a(-\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi),y,\xi)\\
&+\sum_{|\alpha|=1}(x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))^\alpha\int_0^1\big(\partial_x^\alpha a^I\big)(-\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)+\theta(x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)),y,\xi)\,d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))^\alpha e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))} = (-i\partial_\xi)^\alpha e^{i(x\cdot\xi+\varphi(y,\xi))},$$

we may take, by integration by parts,

$$r(x,y,\xi)=\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\int_0^1 (i\partial_\xi)^\alpha\big\{\big(\partial_x^\alpha a^I\big)(-\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)+\theta(x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)),y,\xi)\big\}\,d\theta.$$

which belongs to the class $\mathcal{R}^{m-1}_k$ by the equivalence of $\langle -\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi)+\theta(x+\partial_\xi\varphi(y,\xi))\rangle$ and $\langle y\rangle$. $\square$

By Theorem 2.2 with $\varphi(y,\xi)=-y\cdot\xi$. we have the following symbolic calculus of pseudo-differential operators:

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose $m,k\in\mathbf{R}$. Let $a(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{B}^m_k$. Then we have the decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned}
a(X,Y,D) &= a(Y,Y,D)+r_1(X,Y,D)\\
&= a(X,X,D)+r_2(X,Y,D),
\end{aligned}$$

with $r_1(x,y,\xi), r_2(x,y,\xi)\in\mathcal{R}^{m-1}_k$.

We now describe a formula for the canonical transform of pseudo-differential operators. Let $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ be open cones and $\psi : \Gamma \to \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a C^∞-diffeomorphism satisfying $\psi(\lambda\xi) = \lambda\psi(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda > 0$. We set formally

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Iu(x) = F^{-1}\left[Fu(\psi(\xi))\right](x) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi(\xi))}u(y)dyd\xi,\\
&I^{-1}u(x) = F^{-1}\left[Fu\big(\psi^{-1}(\xi)\big)\right](x) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi^{-1}(\xi))}u(y)dyd\xi,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

where the Fourier transforms F and F^{-1} are defined by

$$
Fu(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}u(x)\,dx, \qquad F^{-1}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi}u(\xi)\,d\xi.
$$

The operator I can be justified by using a cut-off function $\gamma \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ which satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subset \Gamma$, and $\gamma(\lambda\xi) = \gamma(\xi)$ for large $|\xi|$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. We set

$$
\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \psi^{-1} \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Gamma}).
$$

Then the operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_\gamma u(x) &= F^{-1}\left[\gamma(\xi)Fu(\psi(\xi))\right](x)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\Gamma} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi(\xi))}\gamma(\xi)u(y)dyd\xi,\\
I_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}u(x) &= F^{-1}\left[\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)Fu\big(\psi^{-1}(\xi)\big)\right](x)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi^{-1}(\xi))}\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)u(y)dyd\xi
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

can be reasonably defined, and we have the expressions

$$
I_\gamma = \gamma(D)\cdot I = I\cdot\tilde{\gamma}(D), \quad I_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1} = \tilde{\gamma}(D)\cdot I^{-1} = I^{-1}\cdot\gamma(D), \tag{2.6}
$$

and the identities

$$
I_\gamma \cdot I_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1} = \gamma(D)^2, \quad I_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}\cdot I_\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}(D)^2. \tag{2.7}
$$

We remark that $\varphi(y,\xi) = -y\cdot\psi(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n_y \times \Gamma_\xi)$ and $\varphi(y,\xi) = -y\cdot\psi^{-1}(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n_y \times \tilde{\Gamma}_\xi)$ satisfy assumption (2.2). Then we have the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose $m \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $a(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^m$), and let I_γ be defined by (2.5). We set $a_0(x,\xi) = a(x,\xi)\gamma_0(\xi)$ with $\gamma_0 \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}\gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ and $\gamma_0 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\gamma$, and set*

$$
\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = a_0\big(x\psi'\big(\psi^{-1}(\xi)\big), \psi^{-1}(\xi)\big).
$$

Then we have $\tilde{a}(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^m$) and

$$
a(X,D)\cdot I_\gamma = I_\gamma \cdot \tilde{a}(X,D) + R,
$$

where R is a bounded operator from $L^2_{m-1+\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^2_\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. The assertion $\tilde{a}(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^m$) is clear. Since

$$b(Y,D)u = F_\xi^{-1}\Big[\int e^{-iy\cdot\xi} b(y,\xi)u(y)\,dy\Big],$$

we have the formula

$$I_\gamma \cdot b(Y,D)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_\Gamma e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi(\xi))} b(y,\psi(\xi))\gamma(\xi)u(y)\,dyd\xi.$$

Then, by (2.6) and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(X,D)\cdot I_\gamma u(x) &= a_0(X,D)\cdot I_\gamma u(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_\Gamma e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi(\xi))} a_0(x,\xi)\gamma(\xi)u(y)\,dyd\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_\Gamma e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\psi(\xi))} a_0(y\psi'(\xi),\xi)\gamma(\xi)u(y)\,dyd\xi + R_1u(x) \\ &= I_\gamma \cdot \tilde{a}(Y,D)u(x) + R_1u(x), \end{aligned}$$

and, by Corollary 2.3, $\tilde{a}(Y,D) = \tilde{a}(X,D) + R_2$. We remark that, by Theorem 2.1, I_γ is bounded on $L^2_\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and R_1, R_2 are bounded from $L^2_{m-1+\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^2_\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$, for all $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$. We have the corollary by taking $R = R_1 + I_\gamma \cdot R_2$. $\square$

We now establish the formula for a change of variables. Let $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ be open cones and $\kappa : \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be a C^∞-diffeomorphism satisfying $\kappa(\lambda x) = \lambda\kappa(x)$ for all $x \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\lambda > 0$. We set formally

$$\begin{aligned} &Ju(x) = (u\circ\kappa)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\xi)}u(\kappa(y))dyd\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - \kappa^{-1}(y)\cdot\xi)}\Big|\det\big(\kappa^{-1}\big)'(y)\Big|u(y)dyd\xi, \\ &J^{-1}u(x) = (u\circ\kappa^{-1})(x) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - y\cdot\xi)}u\big(\kappa^{-1}(y)\big)dyd\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - \kappa(y)\cdot\xi)}|\det\kappa'(y)|u(y)dyd\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The definition of operator J can be justified by using a cut-off function $\gamma \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ which satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subset \Gamma$, and $\gamma(\lambda x) = \gamma(x)$ for large $|x|$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. We set

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma\circ\kappa \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Gamma}).$$

Then the operators

$$\begin{aligned} &J_\gamma u(x) = (\gamma u)\circ\kappa(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_\Gamma\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - \kappa^{-1}(y)\cdot\xi)}\Big|\det\big(\kappa^{-1}\big)'(y)\Big|\gamma(y)u(y)dyd\xi, \\ &J_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}u(x) = (\tilde{\gamma}u)\circ\kappa^{-1}(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi - \kappa(y)\cdot\xi)}|\det\kappa'(y)|\tilde{\gamma}(y)u(y)dyd\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

can be reasonably defined, and have the expressions

$$J_\gamma = J\cdot\gamma(X) = \tilde{\gamma}(X)\cdot J, \quad J_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1} = J^{-1}\cdot\tilde{\gamma}(X) = \gamma(X)\cdot J^{-1} \tag{2.10}$$

and identities

$$J_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1}\cdot J_\gamma = \gamma(X)^2, \quad J_\gamma\cdot J_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{-1} = \tilde{\gamma}(X)^2. \tag{2.11}$$

We remark that $\varphi(y,\xi) = -\kappa^{-1}(y)\cdot\xi \in C^\infty(\Gamma_y\times\mathbf{R}^n_\xi)$ and $\varphi(y,\xi) = -\kappa(y)\cdot\xi \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Gamma}_y\times\mathbf{R}^n_\xi)$ satisfy assumption (2.2). Then we have the following:

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose $m\in\mathbf{R}$. Let $a(x,\xi)\in\mathcal{A}^m$, and let J_γ be defined by (2.9). We set $a_0(x,\xi) = \gamma_0(x)a(x,\xi)$, with $\gamma_0\in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}\gamma_0\subset\Gamma$ and $\gamma_0 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\gamma$, and set*

$$\tilde{a}(x,\xi) = a_0\big(\kappa(x),\xi\kappa'(x)^{-1}\big).$$

Then we have $\tilde{a}(x,\xi)\in\mathcal{A}^m$ and

$$J_\gamma\cdot a(X,D) = \tilde{a}(X,D)\cdot J_\gamma + R,$$

where R is a bounded operator from $L^2_{m-1+\mu}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^2_\mu(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $\mu\in\mathbf{R}$.

Proof. The assertion $\tilde{a}(x,\xi)\in\mathcal{A}^m$ is clear. By (2.10) and Corollary 2.3, we have the decomposition $J_\gamma\cdot a(X,D) = J_\gamma\cdot a_0(X,D) = J_\gamma\cdot a_0(Y,D) + J_\gamma\cdot R_1$. By Lemma 2.1, $a_0(y,\xi)$ is also decomposed into the sum of

$$a_0^I(x,y,\xi) = a_0(y,\xi)\chi((x-y)/\langle y\rangle) = a_0(y,\xi)\chi((x-y)/\langle y\rangle)\gamma_1(y),$$

where $\gamma_1\in C^\infty(\Gamma)$ satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma_1\subset\Gamma$, $\gamma_1 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\gamma_0$, and $a_0^{II}(x,y,\xi)$ which is negligible. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_\gamma\cdot a_0^I(X,Y,D)u(x)\\
&= (2\pi)^{-n}\int\int e^{i(\kappa(x)-\kappa(y))\cdot\xi}\gamma(\kappa(x))a_0^I(\kappa(x),\kappa(y),\xi)|\det\kappa'(y)|(\gamma_1 u)(\kappa(y))\,dyd\xi\\
&= b(X,Y,D)\cdot J_{\gamma_1}u(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b(x,y,\xi) =& \gamma(\kappa(x))a_0(\kappa(y),\xi\Phi(x,y)^{-1})\chi((\kappa(x)-\kappa(y))/\langle\kappa(y)\rangle)\\
&\cdot|\det\kappa'(y)|\cdot|\det\Phi(x,y)|^{-1},\\
\Phi(x,y) =& \int_0^1 \kappa'(x+\theta(y-x))\,d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

We have used here $\kappa(x)-\kappa(y) = (x-y)^t\Phi(x,y)$. Taking $\operatorname{supp}\chi$ to be sufficiently small, we have

$$|x-y| = \big|\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(x))-\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(y))\big| \le C|\kappa(x)-\kappa(y)| \le \varepsilon\langle\kappa(y)\rangle$$

on $\operatorname{supp}b$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is small. We also have the equivalence of $\langle\kappa(x)\rangle$ and $\langle\kappa(y)\rangle$ by Lemma 2.1, and $\langle\kappa(x)\rangle\le C\langle x\rangle$, $\langle\kappa(y)\rangle\le C\langle y\rangle$. Hence we have the estimates

$$\langle x\rangle \le C\langle x+\theta(y-x)\rangle, \quad \langle y\rangle \le C\langle x+\theta(y-x)\rangle$$

which imply $b(x,y,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$. Then, by Corollary 2.3 again, we have $b(X,Y,D) = b(Y,Y,D) + R_2$ and $b(Y,Y,D)\cdot J_{\gamma_1} = \tilde{a}(Y,D)\cdot J_\gamma = \tilde{a}(X,D)\cdot J_\gamma + R_3 \cdot J_\gamma$. By Theorem 2.1, we have the corollary with $R = J_\gamma \cdot R_1 + J_\gamma \cdot a_0^{II}(X,Y,D) + R_2 \cdot J_{\gamma_1} + R_3 \cdot J_\gamma$. $\square$

3. Geometrical structure

We will now show some basic facts related to the function $p(\xi)$ which is used to define the operator (1.3) in the introduction. As we remarked in Introduction, vectors are represented as rows. We use the notation

$$\Sigma_q = \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0; q(\xi) = 1\}, \quad \Sigma_q^* = \{\nabla q(\xi); \xi \in \Sigma_q\},$$

where $\nabla = \partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$. For vector-valued functions $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, we set $\nabla f = {}^t(\nabla f_1, \dots, \nabla f_m) = (\partial_j f_i)_{1\le i\le m, 1\le j\le n}$. Especially $\nabla^2 g = (\partial_j \partial_i g)_{1\le i,j\le n}$ denotes the Hesse matrix for a scalar valued function g.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $n \ge 2$. Let $p(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ be a positive and positively homogeneous function of degree 1. We assume that the Gaussian curvature of the hypersurface Σ_p never vanishes. Then there exists a positive and positively homogeneous function $p^*(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ of order 1 such that $\Sigma_p^* = \Sigma_{p^*}$, $\Sigma_{p^*}^* = \Sigma_p$, and the Gaussian curvature of the hypersurface Σ_{p^*} never vanishes. Furthermore $\nabla p : \Sigma_p \to \Sigma_{p^*}$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism and $\nabla p^* : \Sigma_{p^*} \to \Sigma_p$ is its inverse.*

Remark 3.1. In the case $p(\xi) = |\xi A|$, where A is a positive definite symmetric matrix, we have $p^*(\xi) = |\xi A^{-1}|$. (See [15, p.19].)

Proof. First we remark that Σ_p is compact. The curvature condition is equivalent to the fact that the Gauss map

$$\Sigma_p \ni \sigma \mapsto \nabla p(\sigma)/|\nabla p(\sigma)| \in S^{n-1},$$

hence the map $\nabla p : \Sigma_p \to \Sigma_{p^*}$, is a global C^∞-diffeomorphism. See Kobayashi and Nomizu [6], or consult Matsumura [8, Theorem D.G. I, p.341]. Hence, for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$, there is uniquely determined $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$ such that $\xi/|\xi| = \nabla p(\sigma)/|\nabla p(\sigma)|$, and we set $\tau(\xi) = \nabla p(\sigma)$. We remark that $\tau(\xi) = \mathbf{R}_+\xi \cap \Sigma_p^*$, where $\mathbf{R}_+\xi = \{\lambda\xi; \lambda > 0\}$. Then the positive function $p^*(\xi) = |\xi|/|\tau(\xi)|$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ and satisfies $p^*(\lambda\xi) = \lambda p^*(\xi)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$. Since ξ and $\tau(\xi)$ is in the same direction, $p^*(\xi) = 1$ is equivalent to $\xi = \tau(\xi)$, which means $\xi \in \Sigma_p^*$. Thus we have obtained the relation

$$p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) = 1 \tag{3.1}$$

and $\Sigma_p^* = \Sigma_{p^*}$.

Every other statement is implied from the Euler's identity $p(\xi) = \nabla p(\xi)\cdot\xi$. In fact, by differentiating it, we have $\nabla p(\xi) = \xi\nabla^2 p(\xi) + \nabla p(\xi)$, hence $\xi\nabla^2 p(\xi) = 0$, which means

$$\xi \in \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p(\xi). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by differentiating the relation $p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) = 1$, we have

$$\nabla p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) \in \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p(\xi).$$

Since the curvature condition is also equivalent to $\operatorname{rank} \nabla^2 p(\xi) = n-1$ (see Miyachi [9, Proposition 1]), we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p(\xi) = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence $\nabla p^*(\nabla p(\xi))$ is parallel to ξ and we can write, with a scalar $k(\xi)$,

$$\nabla p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) = k(\xi)\xi.$$

From this representation and the Euler's identities $p^*(\xi) = \nabla p^*(\xi) \cdot \xi$, $p(\xi) = \nabla p(\xi) \cdot \xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) &= \nabla p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) \cdot \nabla p(\xi) \\ &= k(\xi)\xi \cdot \nabla p(\xi) \\ &= k(\xi) p(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), we have $k(\xi) = 1/p(\xi)$ and the relation

$$\nabla p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) = \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, since the map $\nabla p : \Sigma_p \to \Sigma_p^* = \Sigma_{p^*}$ is onto, it means that the map $\nabla p^* : \Sigma_{p^*} \to \Sigma_p$ is its inverse. Hence we have the relation

$$\nabla p(\nabla p^*(\xi)) = \frac{\xi}{p^*(\xi)}. \tag{3.5}$$

By the Euler's identity again, we have also

$$\begin{aligned} p(\nabla p^*(\xi)) &= \nabla p(\nabla p^*(\xi)) \cdot \nabla p^*(\xi) \\ &= \frac{\xi}{p^*(\xi)} \cdot \nabla p^*(\xi) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the relation $\Sigma_{p^*}^* = \Sigma_p$. □

By Theorem 3.1, especially by (3.4) and (3.5), we have easily the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ be a function used to define the operator* (1.3)*, and let $p^*(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ be the function given by Theorem 3.1. We set, for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$,*

$$\psi(\xi) = p(\xi)\frac{\nabla p(\xi)}{|\nabla p(\xi)|}, \qquad \psi^{-1}(\xi) = |\xi| \nabla p^*(\xi). \tag{3.6}$$

Then $\psi : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0 \to \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ is a C^∞-diffeomorphism and $\psi^{-1} : \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0 \to \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ is its inverse.

For two vectors $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, we define their outer product as

$$a \wedge b = (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)_{i<j}.$$

For $\psi(\xi)$ given by (3.6), we set

$$\Omega(x, \xi) = x\psi'(\xi)^{-1} \wedge \psi(\xi) = (\Omega_{ij}(x, \xi))_{i<j}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then we have

$$\Omega(x,\xi) = x\nabla^2 p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) \wedge p(\xi)\nabla p(\xi). \tag{3.8}$$

In fact, differentiating $\psi^{-1}(\xi) = |\xi|\nabla p^*(\xi)$, we have

$$\big(\psi^{-1}\big)'(\xi) = |\xi|\nabla^2 p^*(\xi) + {}^t\nabla p^*(\xi)\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}.$$

Hence we have, by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\psi'(\xi)^{-1} &= \big(\psi^{-1}\big)'(\psi(\xi)) \\ &= |\nabla p(\xi)|\nabla^2 p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) + \frac{{}^t\xi}{p(\xi)|\nabla p(\xi)|}\nabla p(\xi),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$x\psi'(\xi)^{-1} = x|\nabla p(\xi)|\nabla^2 p^*(\nabla p(\xi)) + \frac{x\cdot\xi}{p(\xi)|\nabla p(\xi)|}\nabla p(\xi).$$

Since $\nabla p(\xi) \wedge \nabla p(\xi) = 0$, we have (3.8).

The following is another representation of the set Γ_p defined by (1.7).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Omega(x,\xi)$ be given by (3.7) with $\psi(\xi)$ in (3.6). Then we have the relation*

$$\{(x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)\,;\, \Omega(x,\xi) = 0\} = \{(\lambda\nabla p(\xi),\xi); \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Proof. First we remark that, by the same reason as those of (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\eta \in \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p^*(\eta) \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p^*(\eta) = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

We take $\eta = \nabla p(\xi)$. Then $\Omega(x,\xi) = 0$ means that $x\nabla^2 p^*(\eta)$ is parallel to η by (3.8), hence it is equivalent to

$$x\big(\nabla^2 p^*(\eta)\big)^2 = 0$$

by (3.9) and (3.10). Since $\nabla^2 p^*(\eta)$ is diagonalizable, it is equivalent to $x\nabla^2 p^*(\eta) = 0$ also, which means

$$x \in \operatorname{Ker} \nabla^2 p^*(\eta).$$

By (3.9) and (3.10) again, it means that x is pararel to $\eta = \nabla p(\xi)$, hence we have the lemma. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Omega(x,\xi)$ be given by (3.7) with $\psi(\xi)$ in (3.6), and let $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus 0)$. Then pseudo-differential operators of the form $(h \circ p)(D)$ satisfies*

$$[\Omega_{ij}, (h \circ p)(D)] = 0.$$

Proof. By the standard symbolic calculus of pseudo-differential operators, it is sufficient to show $\nabla_x\Omega_{ij} \cdot \nabla p(\xi) = 0$, which is

$$\eta\nabla_x\big(x\nabla^2 p^*(\eta)\big) = 0$$

with $\eta = \nabla p(\xi)$ by (3.8). This can be verified by the fact $\nabla_x(x\nabla^2 p^*(\eta)) = {}^t\nabla^2 p^*(\eta) = \nabla^2 p^*(\eta)$ and (3.9). ☐

The following estimate is essential for the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Introduction.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose* $m \in \mathbf{R}$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Let* $a(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$. *We assume that* $a(x,\xi) = 0$ *if* $(x,\xi) \in \Gamma_p$ *or* $|\xi| < \varepsilon$. *Then we have*

$$\|a(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\left(\sum_{i<j} \|\Omega_{ij}(X,D)u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \|u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)}\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Here Γ_p *is given by* (1.7) *and* $\Omega_{ij}(x,\xi)$ *by* (3.7) *with* $\psi(\xi)$ *in* (3.6).

Remark 3.2. Let $\tau(x,\xi)$ be the symbol (1.11) in Introduction. Then $\tau(x,\xi) = 0$ if $(x,\xi) \in \Gamma_p$ and $x \neq 0$. If fact, for $x \neq 0$, $\tau(x,\xi) = 0$ is equivalent to $|\nabla p^*(x) \wedge \xi|^2 = 0$, which is true on the set Γ_p by (3.4). By cutting it off appropriately, we can construct the example which satisfies the assumption in Proposition 3.3

Proof. Let $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a cut-off function which satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ and $\gamma(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \ge \varepsilon/2$, and I_γ, $I_{\tilde\gamma}^{-1}$ be the operators defined by (2.5) with the phase functions $\psi(\xi)$, $\psi^{-1}(\xi)$ respectively given by (3.6). We remark that the operators I_γ and $I_{\tilde\gamma}^{-1}$ are bounded on L^2_μ for any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ by Theorem 2.1, and we have also

$$\Gamma_p = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)\,;\, \Omega(x,\xi) = 0\}$$

by Lemma 3.1. By Corollary 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a(X,D)\cdot I_\gamma = I_\gamma \cdot \tilde a(X,D) + R,\\ &I_{\tilde\gamma}^{-1}\cdot \Omega_{ij}(X,D)\cdot \gamma_0(D) = \widetilde\Omega_{ij}(X,D)\cdot I_{\tilde\gamma}^{-1} + R', \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0$ and $\gamma_0(\xi) = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\gamma$,

$$\tilde a(x,\xi) = a\big(x\psi'\big(\psi^{-1}(\xi)\big), \psi^{-1}(\xi)\big) \in \mathcal{A}^m, \qquad \widetilde\Omega(x,\xi) = x \wedge \xi = \Big(\widetilde\Omega_{ij}(x,\xi)\Big)_{i<j},$$

and R, R' satisfy

$$\|Ru\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\|u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \qquad \|R'u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\|u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \tag{3.12}$$

If we notice (2.7), and use the L^2_{m-1}-boundedness of $[\Omega_{ij}(X,D), \gamma_0(D)]$ and $\gamma_0(D)$ justified by the symbolic calculus of pseudo-differential operators and Theorem 2.1, estimate (3.11) is reduced to the estimate

$$\|\tilde a(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\left(\sum_{i<j} \left\|\widetilde\Omega_{ij}(X,D)u\right\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \|u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

We remark that $\tilde a(x,\xi) = 0$ on the set

$$\widetilde\Gamma_p = \Big\{(x,\xi) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)\,;\, \widetilde\Omega(x,\xi) = 0\Big\}.$$

Now we prove (3.13). By covering $\mathbf{R}^n_x$ using sectors and a ball centered at the origin, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp}\tilde a(\cdot,\xi) \subset \Gamma = \{x;\, x_n > |x'|\}$. For the justification, here we have used Theorem 2.1 and the fact $\widetilde\Omega_{ij}(X,D)$ is transformed, by rotation, to a linear combination of the elements of $\widetilde\Omega(X,D)$. Furthermore, let $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a cut-off function which satisfies $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subset \Gamma$ and $\gamma = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\tilde a(\cdot,\xi)$, and let

J_γ be the operator defined by (2.9) with the change of variable $\kappa : \tilde\Gamma \to \Gamma$, where $\tilde\Gamma = \bigl\{x;\, x_n > \sqrt{2}|x'|\bigr\}$ and $\kappa(x) = \Bigl(x', \sqrt{x_n^2 - |x'|^2}\Bigr)$. We have used the notations $x = (x_1, x_2 \dots, x_n)$, $x' = (x_1 \dots, x_{n-1})$. By Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\gamma \cdot \tilde a(X,D) &= b(X,D) \cdot J_\gamma + R \\ J_\gamma \cdot \widetilde\Omega_{ij}(X,D) &= \Theta_{ij}(X,D) \cdot J_\gamma + R', \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b(x,\xi) = \tilde a(\kappa(x), \xi\kappa'(x)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{A}^m, \qquad \Theta_{ij}(x,\xi) = \widetilde\Omega_{ij}\bigl(\kappa(x), \xi\kappa'(x)^{-1}\bigr),$$

and R, R' satisfy (3.12). Here we have noticed that $\Theta_{ij}(X,D)$ is a differential operator. We remark that we have

$$\kappa'(x)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{n-1} & 0 \\ x'/x_n & \sqrt{x_n^2 - |x'|^2}/x_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_{n-1} is the identity matrix of dimension $n-1$. By easy computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ij}(x,\xi) &= x_i\xi_j - x_j\xi_i \qquad (i<j<n), \\ \Theta_{in}(x,\xi) &= -\sqrt{x_n^2 - |x'|^2}\,\xi_i \qquad (i<n), \end{aligned}$$

and $b(x,\xi) = 0$ on the set $\{(x,\xi);\, \xi' = 0\}$. Hence estimate (3.13) is reduced to

$$\|b(X,D)\cdot J_\gamma u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \sum_{i<j} \|\Theta_{ij}(X,D)\cdot J_\gamma u\|_{L^2_{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{3.14}$$

if we notice (2.11).

Finally, we prove (3.14). Since

$$\|D_i \cdot J_\gamma u\|_{L^2_m} \le C\|\Theta_{in}(X,D)\cdot J_\gamma u\|_{L^2_{m-1}},$$

it suffices to show

$$\|b(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|D_i u\|_{L^2_m(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

for $b(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^m$ which vanishes on the set $\{(x,\xi); \xi' = 0\}$. This can be carried out if we notice the Taylor expansion

$$b(x,\xi',\xi_n) = b(x,0,\xi_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i(x,\xi)\xi_i,$$

where

$$r_i(x,\xi) = \int_0^1 (\partial_{\xi_i} b)(x, \theta\xi', \xi_n) \in \mathcal{A}^m,$$

and the boundedness of $r_i(X,D)$ (Theorem 2.1). □

4. LIMITING ABSORPTION PRINCIPLE

Let L_p be the operator which appears in equation (1.5). First we remark that we can define the operator

$$K_{d,\chi} = (L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1}\chi(D),$$

the weak limit of $(L_p - d \mp i\varepsilon)^{-1}\chi(D)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, where $d \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$ (see Hörmander [4, Section 14.2]). Taking $\operatorname{supp}\chi$ away from the points ξ such that $p(\xi)^2 = d$, we can also define the operator $(L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1}$ since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} (L_p - d \mp i\varepsilon)^{-1}(1-\chi)(D) \to (L_p - d)^{-1}(1-\chi)(D).$$

For the pseudo-differential operator $\sigma(X,D)$, we set

$$\sigma(X,D)^* = \overline{\sigma(Y,D)}.$$

Then we have the following refined version of the limiting absorption principle:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $d \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 0)$. Suppose that $\sigma(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{A}^{-1/2}$ and $\sigma(x,\xi) = 0$ on Γ_p. Then we have*

$$\left\|\sigma(X,D)(L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1}\chi(D)\sigma(X,D)^* v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_{d,\chi}\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

First we prove Theorem 4.1. The argument below is the modified version of the proof of [15, Theorem 3.1], and may include the repetition of it. By Proposition 3.3 and by taking the adjoint, we may show the $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$-boundedness of the operator

$$\widetilde{K}_{d,\chi} = \langle x\rangle^{-3/2}(\Omega_{ij})^k K_{d,\chi}\left(\Omega^*_{i'j'}\right)^{k'}\langle x\rangle^{-3/2},$$

where Ω_{ij} is given by (3.7), $\Omega^*_{i'j'}$ is the adjoint of $\Omega_{i'j'}$, and $k, k' = 0, 1$. Then Ω_{ij} almost commutes with $K_{d,\chi}$ in the sense of Lemma 3.2. On account of it, we have the expressions,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{K}_{d,\chi} &= \sum_{\nu:finite} \langle x\rangle^{-3/2}(L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1}\chi_\nu(D) f_\nu(x)\langle x\rangle^{-3/2} \\ &= \sum_{\nu:finite} \langle x\rangle^{-3/2}\tilde{f}_\nu(x)(L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1}\tilde{\chi}_\nu(D)\langle x\rangle^{-3/2}\end{aligned}$$

where $f_\nu, \tilde{f}_\nu$ are polynomials of order 2 at most, and $\chi_\nu, \tilde{\chi}_\nu \in C_0^\infty$ have their support in that of χ. Hence we may assume

$$\widetilde{K}_{d,\chi} = \langle x\rangle^{-3/2}K_{d,\chi}\langle x\rangle^{1/2}, \qquad \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi} = \langle x\rangle^{1/2}K_{d,\chi}\langle x\rangle^{-3/2}, \tag{4.1}$$

whichever we need.

We may assume, as well, that $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ has its support in a sufficiently small conic neighborhood of $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. We split the variables in $\mathbf{R}^n$ in the way of

$$x = (x', x_n),\ x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

By the integral kernel representation, we express the operators $\widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}$ and $K_{d,\chi}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}v(x) &= \int \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y)v(y)\,dy\\ &= \int dy_n \cdot \int \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y)v(y)\,dy',\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}K_{d,\chi}v(x) &= \int K_{d,\chi}(x,y)v(y)\,dy\\ &= \int dy_n \cdot \int K_{d,\chi}(x,y)v(y)\,dy'.\end{aligned}$$

The following is fundamental in the proof of the limiting absorption principle:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ have its support in a small conic neighborhood of $(0,\ldots,0,1)$. Then we have*

$$\left\| \int K_{d,\chi}(x,y)v(y)\,dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \le C_{d,\chi}\|v(\cdot,y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})},$$

where $C_{d,\chi}$ is independent of x_n and y_n.

Proof. We follow the argument in the proof of [4, Lemma 14.2.1]. Since we have

$$K_{d,\chi}(x,y) = \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}\Big[\big(p(\xi)^2 - d \mp i0\big)^{-1}\chi(\xi)\Big](x-y),$$

the integral in the left hand side of the estimate is a partial convolution. We write $\xi = (\xi', \xi_n)$, $\xi' = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1})$. By virtue of Plancherel's theorem and the inverse formula, it suffices to show the boundedness of

$$F_{\xi_n}^{-1}\Big[\big(p(\xi)^2 - d \mp i0\big)^{-1}\chi(\xi)\Big](x_n)$$

with respect to x_n and small ξ'. We may assume $d > 0$. Let the smooth function $\Xi(\xi', d)$ be defined by the relation $p(\xi', \Xi(\xi', d))^2 = d$, which is uniquely determined for ξ' near 0. We have used here Euler's identity $p(0,\ldots,0,1) = \partial_{\xi_n}p(0,\ldots,0,1) > 0$ and the implicit function theorem. Then we have

$$\big(p(\xi)^2 - d \mp i\varepsilon\big)^{-1}\chi(\xi) = (\xi_n - \Xi(\xi', d) \mp i\varepsilon q(\xi))^{-1}g(\xi),$$

where

$$q(\xi) = \frac{\xi_n - \Xi(\xi', d)}{p(\xi)^2 - d} \in C^\infty, \quad g(\xi) = \chi(\xi)q(\xi) \in C_0^\infty.$$

Hence we have

$$\big(p(\xi)^2 - d \mp i0\big)^{-1}\chi(\xi) = (\xi_n - \Xi(\xi', d) \mp i0)^{-1}g(\xi).$$

The lemma is just a consequence of the formula

$$F_\rho^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\rho \mp i0}\right](r) = iY(\pm r)$$

and the fact that $F_{\xi_n}^{-1}g(\xi)$ is bounded, where Y denotes the Heaviside function. □

By (4.1) and Lemma 4.1, we have easily

$$\left\| \int \langle x\rangle^{3/2} \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) \langle y\rangle^{-1/2} v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \leq C_{d,\chi} \|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})}$$

and

$$\left\| \int \langle x\rangle^{-1/2} \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) \langle y\rangle^{3/2} v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \leq C_{d,\chi} \|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})}.$$

By interpolation, we have

$$\left\| \int \langle x\rangle^{1/2\pm\epsilon} \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) \langle y\rangle^{1/2\mp\epsilon} v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \leq C_{d,\chi} \|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})},$$

hence

$$\left\| \int \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \leq C_{d,\chi} \frac{\|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})}}{|x_n|^{1/2\pm\epsilon} |y_n|^{1/2\mp\epsilon}}$$

for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$. Since $|x_n|^{-2\epsilon} \leq 2^{2\epsilon}|x_n - y_n|^{-2\epsilon}$ if $|x_n| \geq |y_n|$ and $|y_n|^{-2\epsilon} \leq 2^{2\epsilon}|x_n - y_n|^{-2\epsilon}$ if $|x_n| \leq |y_n|$, we have

$$\left\| \int \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} \leq C_{d,\chi} \frac{\|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})}}{|x_n|^{1/2-\epsilon} |x_n - y_n|^{2\epsilon} |y_n|^{1/2-\epsilon}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi} v \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\leq \left\| \int \left\| \int \widetilde{K}_{d,\chi}(x,y) v(y)\, dy' \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}_{x'})} dy_n \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_{x_n})} \\ &\leq C_{d,\chi} \left\| \int \frac{\|v(\cdot, y_n)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})}}{|x_n|^{1/2-\epsilon} |x_n - y_n|^{2\epsilon} |y_n|^{1/2-\epsilon}}\, dy_n \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_{x_n})} \\ &\leq C_{d,\chi} \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following fact (with the case $n = 1$) proved by Hardy-Littlewood [3]:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $\gamma < n/2$, $\delta < n/2$, $m < n$, and $\gamma + \delta + m = n$. Then we have*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x|^\gamma |x-y|^m |y|^\delta}\, dy \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^2\, dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

(See also [13, Theorem B].) Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.1.

As has been already established, Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following (see Section 5):

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose $\sigma(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$. Suppose also $\sigma(x,\xi) = 0$ if $(x,\xi) \in \Gamma_p$ and $x \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$\sup_{d>0} \left\| \sigma(X,D)(L_p - d \mp i0)^{-1} \sigma(X,D)^* v \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. By the scaling argument, we have only to consider the case $d = 1$. We split the estimate into the following two parts:

$$\left\|\sigma(X,D)(L_p - 1 \mp i0)^{-1}(1-\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma(X,D)^* v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\left\|\sigma(X,D)(L_p - 1 \mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma(X,D)^* v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\chi(t) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is a function which is equal to 1 near $t = 1$. We use the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $-n/2 < \delta < n/2$. Then we have*

$$\left\||x|^\delta m(D)u\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C \sum_{|\gamma|\le n} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbf{R}^n} \left||\xi|^{|\gamma|}\partial^\gamma m(\xi)\right| \left\||x|^\delta u\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. See Kurtz and Wheeden [7, Theorem 3]. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $a < n/2$, $0 < b < a + n/2$. Suppose $\tau(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-a}|\xi|^{-b}$. Then we have*

$$\|\tau(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\left\||x|^{b-a}u\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\tau(X,D)u(x) = \int K(x, x-y)u(y)\,dy,$$

where

$$K(x,z) = F_\xi^{-1}[\tau(x,\xi)](z).$$

Then we have

$$|K(x,x-y)| \le C|x|^{-a}|x-y|^{-(n-b)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\tau(X,D)|x|^{a-b}u\right\|_{L^2} &\le C\left\|\int \frac{u(y)}{|x|^a|x-y|^{n-b}|y|^{b-a}}\,dy\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\le C\|u\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.2. □

First we prove estimate (4.2). Setting

$$m(\xi) = |\xi|^2\big(p(\xi)^2 - 1 \mp i0\big)^{-1}(1-\chi\circ p)(\xi)$$

and

$$\tau(x,\xi) = \sigma(x,\xi)|\xi|^{-1} \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{-1/2},$$

we have

$$\sigma(X,D)(L_p - 1 \mp i0)^{-1}(1-\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma(X,D)^* = \tau(X,D)m(D)\tau(X,D)^*.$$

Estimate (4.2) is obtained from Lemma 4.3 with $\delta = 0$ and Lemma 4.4 with $a = b = 1/2$.

Next we prove estimate (4.3). Let $\rho(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be equal to 1 near the origin and $\tilde\chi(t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$ be equal to 1 on $\operatorname{supp}\chi$. We set

$$\sigma_0(x,\xi) = \rho(x)\sigma(x,\xi), \qquad \sigma_1(x,\xi) = (1-\rho(x))\sigma(x,\xi)(\tilde\chi\circ p)(\xi).$$

Since $\sigma_1(x,\xi)\in\mathcal{A}^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\big\|\sigma_1(X,D)(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma_1(X,D)^*v\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{4.4}$$

by Theorem 4.1. On the other hand, since

$$\sigma_0(x,\xi)=|x|^{2\varepsilon}\rho(x)\tau_0(x,\xi)p(\xi)^{1/2+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$\tau_0(x,\xi)=|x|^{-2\varepsilon}\sigma(x,\xi)p(\xi)^{-(1/2+\varepsilon)}\sim|x|^{-(1/2+2\varepsilon)}|\xi|^{-\varepsilon},$$

we have, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma_0(X,D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}&\le C\big\|\tau_0(X,D)p(D)^{1/2+\varepsilon}u\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\\&\le C\big\||x|^{-(1/2+\varepsilon)}p(D)^{1/2+\varepsilon}u\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

with $0<\varepsilon<(n-1)/4$. By the same argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sigma_0(X,D)\Omega_{ij}u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\\&\le C\Big(\big\||x|^{-(1/2+\varepsilon)}p(D)^{3/2+\varepsilon}u\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}+\big\||x|^{-(1/2+\varepsilon)}p(D)^{1/2+\varepsilon}u\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for Ω_{ij} give by (3.7) with the same ε. In fact, since the symbol of Ω_{ij} is linear in x and positively homogeneous of order 1 in ξ by (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_0(X,D)\Omega_{ij}&=\sum_{\mu:finite}\rho(X)\sigma_\mu(X,D)+\sum_{\nu:finite}\rho(X)\sigma_\nu(X,D)\\&=\sum_{\mu:finite}\tilde\rho(X)\tilde\sigma_\mu(X,D)p(D)+\sum_{\nu:finite}\rho(X)\sigma_\nu(X,D)\end{aligned}$$

by the symbolic calculus, where $\sigma_\mu(x,\xi)\sim|x|^{1/2}|\xi|^{3/2}$, $\tilde\rho(x)=|x|\rho(x)$, $\tilde\sigma_\mu(x,\xi)=|x|^{-1}\sigma(x,\xi)p(\xi)^{-1}\sim|x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$, and $\sigma_\nu(x,\xi)\sim|x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$. Note that the operators $\tilde\rho(X)\tilde\sigma_\mu(X,D)$ and $\rho(X)\sigma_\nu(X,D)$ play the same role in justifying (4.6) as $\sigma_0(X,D)=\rho(X)\sigma(X,D)$ does in (4.5). By estimate (4.5), the estimate

$$\big\|\sigma_0(X,D)(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma_0(X,D)^*v\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{4.7}$$

is reduced to show the estimate

$$\Big\||x|^{\alpha-1}(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)|x|^{\beta-1}v\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{4.8}$$

with $\alpha=\beta=1/2-\varepsilon$ for any $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$. By Lemma 4.3, it is implied by

$$\Big\||x|^{\alpha-1}|D|^{\alpha+\beta}(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}|x|^{\beta-1}v\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

which was proved by [16, Theorem 1.2] (see also [14, Theorem 3.1]). Furthermore, by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.2, estimate

$$\big\|\sigma_0(X,D)(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma_1(X,D)^*v\big\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \tag{4.9}$$

is reduced to show the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\sigma_0(X,D)(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)(\Omega_{ij})^k\langle x\rangle^{-3/2}v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\\=&\left\|\sigma_0(X,D)(\Omega_{ij})^k(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\langle x\rangle^{-3/2}v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\\\leq&C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

where $k=0,1$. By estimate (4.6) and the trivial inequality $\langle x\rangle^{-3/2}\leq|x|^{-(1/2+\varepsilon)}$ with $\varepsilon\leq1$, it is also reduced to estimate (4.8). By taking the adjoint, the estimate

$$\left\|\sigma_1(X,D)(L_p-1\mp i0)^{-1}(\chi\circ p)(D)\sigma_0(X,D)^*v\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\leq C\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\tag{4.10}$$

is also obtained. Summing up estimates (4.4), (4.7), (4.9), and (4.10), we have estimate (4.3), and thus the proof of Corollary 4.2 has been completed. □

5. Concluding remarks

First we explain why Corollary 4.2 implies Theorem 1.1. The same argument was used in [14] or references cited there. Setting $A=\sigma(X,D)$, we have

$$\sup_{\rho>0}\left\|A(L_p-\rho^2-i0)^{-1}A^*f\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}\leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

by Corollary 4.2. If we notice the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\hat{f}\right\|^2_{L^2(\rho\Sigma_p\,;\,\rho^{n-1}d\omega/|\nabla p|)}&=\int_{\Sigma_p}\left|\hat{f}(\rho\omega)\right|^2\frac{\rho^{n-1}d\omega}{|\nabla p(\omega)|}\\&=4(2\pi)^{n-1}\rho\operatorname{Im}\left((L_p-\rho^2-i0)^{-1}f,f\right)\end{aligned}$$

(see Hörmander [4, Corollary 14.3.10]), we have the estimate

$$\left\|\widehat{A^*f}\right\|_{L^2(\rho\Sigma_p\,;\,\rho^{n-1}d\omega/|\nabla p|)}\leq C\sqrt{\rho}\,\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)},\tag{5.1}$$

where $\rho>0$, $\rho\Sigma_p=\{\rho\omega;\omega\in\Sigma_p\}$ and $d\omega$ is the standard surface element of the hypersurface Σ_p defined by (1.4). Let

$$T=e^{-itp(D)^2}:\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n_x)\to\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)$$

be the solution operator to (1.5). Then the formal adjoint $T^*:\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)\to\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n_x)$ is expressed as

$$T^*\left[v(t,x)\right]=F^{-1}_\xi\left[(F_{t,x}v)\big(-p(\xi)^2,\xi\big)\right].$$

In fact, by Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(T\left[\varphi(x)\right],v(t,x)\right)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)}=&(2\pi)^{-n}\iint e^{-itp(\xi)^2}(F_x\varphi)(\xi)\overline{F_x\left[v(t,x)\right](\xi)}\,dtd\xi\\=&(2\pi)^{-n}\int(F_x\varphi)(\xi)\overline{(F_{t,x}v)(-p(\xi)^2,\xi)}\,d\xi\\=&\big(\varphi(x),F^{-1}_\xi\left[(F_{t,x}v)\big(-p(\xi)^2,\xi\big)\right](x)\big)_{L^2(R^n_x)}.\end{aligned}$$

By (5.1) and Plancherel's theorem again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*A^*v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} &= C\big\|(F_{t,x}A^*v)(-p(\xi)^2,\xi)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_\xi)} \\
&= C\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\Sigma_p} \big|(F_{t,x}A^*v)\big(-\rho^2,\rho\omega\big)\big|^2 \,\frac{\rho^{n-1}d\omega}{|\nabla p(\omega)|}\right) d\rho \\
&\le C\int_0^\infty \rho\big\|(F_t v)(-\rho^2,x)\big\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}\, d\rho \\
&\le C\int_{-\infty}^\infty \|(F_t v)(\rho,x)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}\, d\rho \\
&= C\|v(t,x)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the change of variables $\xi\mapsto\rho\omega$ $(\rho>0,\omega\in\Sigma_p)$ and $\rho^2\mapsto\rho$. Then, by the duality argument, we have

$$\|AT\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)} \le C\,\|\,\varphi\,\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

which is the required estimate (1.2).

If we follow the same argument for the operator

$$T=e^{\pm itp(D)}p(D)^{-1/2}:\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n_x)\to\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x),$$

we have a result for the second order hyperbolic equations (see [15, Section 5]). Let $\dot{H}^s$ be the homogeneous Sobolev space defined by the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}=\||D|^s\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}.$$

Then we have the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n\ge 2$. Suppose $\sigma(x,\xi)\sim|x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^0$. Suppose also the structure condition* (1.8). *Then the solution w to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \big(\partial_t^2+L_p\big)w(t,x)=0 \\ w(0,x)=\varphi\in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x) \\ \partial_t w(0,x)=\psi\in\dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n_x) \end{cases}$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\|\sigma(X,D)w\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)}\le C\Big(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}+\|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^n_x)}\Big).$$

We can also treat the operator L_p of other orders. Instead of (1.3), we set $L_p=p(D)^m$, where $p(\xi)$ satisfies the same assumption. Theorem 5.1 is also obtained from the following result (where we can take $m=1$).

Theorem 5.2. *Let $n\ge 2$ and $m\in\mathbf{N}$. Suppose $\sigma(x,\xi)\sim|x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{(m-1)/2}$. Suppose also the structure condition* (1.8). *Then the solution u to (1.5) with $L_p=p(D)^m$ satisfies estimate* (1.2).

We omit the proof of Theorem 5.2 because it is just a straight forward modification of the argument in Sections 4 and 5. Modification of the result in [16] is also needed where we use the assumption $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

We finish this article by mentioning that the structure condition (1.8) seems to be necessary for estimate (1.2). By Theorem 1.1, the symbol

$$\sigma(x,\xi) = |x|^{-1/2}|(x/|x|) \wedge \nabla p(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{1/2} \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$$

is a typical example which satisfies estimate (1.2). Assume that there is another non-negative symbol $\tau(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$ which breaks the structure condition (1.8) but satisfies estimate (1.2). Then we have the estimate

$$\|(\sigma(X,D)+\tau(X,D))u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)} \le C\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

We remark that $\sigma(x,\xi)$ is non-negative and vanishes only on the set Γ_p. Since $\tau(x,\xi)$ is also non-negative and never vanishes on Γ_p by the homogeneity, we have the ellipticity of the symbol $\sigma(x,\xi)+\tau(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$. By constructing the parametrix, we have the critical estimate

$$\left\||x|^{-1/2}|D|^{1/2}u\right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_t\times\mathbf{R}^n_x)} \le C\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

which is not true, at least, for the ordinary Schrödinger equation (Watanabe [17]). By justifying this argument, we can expect the conclusion that any non-negative symbol $\sigma(x,\xi) \sim |x|^{-1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}$ satisfying estimate (1.2) must have characteristic points contained in Γ_p.

References

[1] M. Ben-Artzi and S. Klainerman, *Decay and regularity for the Schrödinger equation*, J. Analyse Math. **58** (1992), 25–37.

[2] H. Chihara, *Smoothing effects of dispersive pseudodifferential equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), 1953–2005.

[3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some Properties of Fractional Integrals, I*, Math. Zeit. **27** (1928), 565–606.

[4] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.

[5] T. Kato and K. Yajima, *Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 481–496.

[6] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. II.*, Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1969.

[7] D. S. Kurtz and R. L. Wheeden, *Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **255** (1979), 343–362.

[8] M. Matsumura, *Asymptotic behavior at infinity for Green's functions of first order systems with characteristics of nonuniform multiplicity*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **12** (1976), 317–377.

[9] A. Miyachi, *On some estimates for the wave equation in L^p and H^p*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), 331–354.

[10] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global L^2-boundedness theorems for a class of Fourier integral operators*, (preprint, arXiv:math.AP/0311219).

[11] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Global existence of small solutions to the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a structure*, (preprint).

[12] P. Sjölin, *Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 699–715.

[13] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Fractional integrals on n-dimensional Euclidean space*, J. Math. Mech. **7** (1958), 503–514.

[14] M. Sugimoto, *Global smoothing properties of generalized Schrödinger equations*, J. Anal. Math. **76** (1998), 191–204.
[15] M. Sugimoto, *A Smoothing property of Schrödinger equations along the sphere*, J. Anal. Math. **89** (2003), 15–30.
[16] M. Sugimoto and K. Tsujimoto, *A resolvent estimate and a smoothing property of inhomogeneous Schrödinger equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **74** (1998), 74–76.
[17] K. Watanabe, *Smooth perturbations of the selfadjoint operator* $|\Delta|^{\alpha/2}$, Tokyo J. Math. **14** (1991), 239–250.

Michael Ruzhansky:
Department of Mathematics
Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine
180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2BZ, UK
E-mail address ruzh@ic.ac.uk

Mitsuru Sugimoto:
Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science
Osaka University
Machikaneyama-cho 1-16, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
E-mail address sugimoto@math.wani.osaka-u.jp